

MEAN WIDTH OF REGULAR POLYTOPES AND EXPECTED MAXIMA OF CORRELATED GAUSSIAN VARIABLES

ZAKHAR KABLUCHKO, ALEXANDER E. LITVAK, AND DMITRY ZAPOROZHETS

ABSTRACT. An old conjecture states that among all simplices inscribed in the unit sphere the regular one has the maximal mean width. An equivalent formulation is that for any centered Gaussian vector $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}\xi_1^2 = \dots = \mathbb{E}\xi_n^2 = 1$ one has

$$\mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\},$$

where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$, are independent standard Gaussian variables. Using this probabilistic interpretation we derive an *asymptotic* version of the conjecture. We also show that the mean width of the regular simplex with $2n$ vertices is remarkably close to the mean width of the regular crosspolytope with the same number of vertices. Interpreted probabilistically, our result states that

$$1 \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\}}{\mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}\}} \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n-1}}, 1 + \frac{C}{n \log n} \right\},$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant. We also compute the higher moments of the projection length W of the regular cube, simplex and crosspolytope onto a line with random direction, thus proving several formulas conjectured by S. Finch. Finally, we prove distributional limit theorems for the length of random projection as the dimension goes to ∞ . In the case of the n -dimensional unit cube Q_n , we prove that

$$W_{Q_n} - \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\pi-3}{\pi}\right),$$

whereas for the simplex and the crosspolytope the limiting distributions are related to the Gumbel double exponential law.

1. CONJECTURE ON THE MEAN WIDTH

1.1. Introduction. The mean width of a compact convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the expected length of a projection of this body onto a line with uniformly chosen, random direction. That is, the mean width equals $\mathbb{E}[W_K]$, where

$$W_K = \sup_{t \in K} \langle U, t \rangle - \inf_{t \in K} \langle U, t \rangle,$$

and U is uniformly distributed on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary, 52A23; secondary, 52A39, 52A20, 60G15, 60G70, 46B06.

Key words and phrases. Mean width, intrinsic volumes, regular simplex, regular crosspolytope, maxima of Gaussian processes, random projections, extreme value theory.

The work of the third author is supported by the grant RFBR 13-01-00256 and by the Program of Fundamental Researches of Russian Academy of Sciences “Modern Problems of Fundamental Mathematics”.

How should $n + 1$ points be arranged on the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere so as to maximize the mean width of their convex hull? An old conjecture states (see [14, Section 9.10.2]) that the arrangement must be *regular*.

The mean width is just a multiple of the first intrinsic volume V_1 , namely

$$(1) \quad V_1(K) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \mathbb{E}[W_K];$$

see [20, p. 210]. The first intrinsic volume has the advantage of not depending on the dimension of the surrounding space. Hence the conjecture can be formulated as follows:

$$(2) \quad \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})) = V_1(T_n),$$

where T_n is a regular simplex with $n + 1$ vertices inscribed in the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and conv denotes the convex hull.

This question is surprisingly hard. Several authors [13, 3, 4, 23] assumed the existence of a proof, but the problem is still open. Besides very natural formulation in Convex Geometry this problem is very important in Information Theory, as it is closely related to the long-standing simplex code conjecture [8].

1.2. Probabilistic statement. The conjecture can be reformulated in terms of Gaussian processes in the following way. Throughout the paper, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ denotes a standard Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the fact that the norm and the direction of η are independent, it is not difficult to derive Sudakov's formula

$$(3) \quad V_1(\text{conv}(K)) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \sup_{x \in K} \langle \eta, x \rangle$$

(see [21] for details and for a generalization to the infinite-dimensional case, or Theorem 3.1 in the present paper for a more general result). This probabilistic interpretation of the first intrinsic volume allows to reformulate the conjecture as follows.

Proposition 1.1. *For every integer $n \geq 2$ the following two statements are equivalent:*

(i) *One has*

$$(4) \quad \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = V_1(T_{n-1}),$$

and the equality is attained iff $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are vertices of a regular simplex.

(ii) *For every centered Gaussian vector $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ satisfying*

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{E}\xi_1^2 = \dots = \mathbb{E}\xi_n^2 = 1,$$

one has

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\},$$

and the equality is attained iff $\mathbb{E}[\xi_i \xi_j] = -1/(n-1)$ for all $i \neq j$.

Proof. First of all note that

$$(7) \quad \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sup_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} V_1(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

because there is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace (and hence, an $(n-2)$ -dimensional sphere of radius at most 1) containing $y_1, \dots, y_n$. Therefore, we can restate (i) as follows:

$$(8) \quad \sup_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} V_1(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = V_1(T_{n-1}),$$

and the equality is attained iff $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are vertices of a regular simplex centered at the origin. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a standard orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$. As a realization of such a simplex we can take the convex hull of the points

$$v_i := \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \left(e_i - \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_n}{n} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

To see this, note that the $(n-1)$ -dimensional regular simplex

$$S_{n-1} := \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

can be inscribed in an $(n-2)$ -dimensional sphere of radius $\sqrt{(n-1)/n}$ centered at $(e_1 + \dots + e_n)/n$. It follows from (3) applied to $K = S_{n-1}$ that

$$(9) \quad V_1(T_{n-1}) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} V_1(S_{n-1}) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}.$$

To any points $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we associate a centered Gaussian vector $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ such that $\mathbb{E}\xi_1^2 = \dots = \mathbb{E}\xi_n^2 = 1$ via

$$\xi_1 := \langle \eta, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \xi_n := \langle \eta, y_n \rangle.$$

If we agree to identify two Gaussian vectors if they have the same distribution and two tuples $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $(y'_1, \dots, y'_n)$ if $\langle y_i, y_j \rangle = \langle y'_i, y'_j \rangle$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then this correspondence becomes one-to-one because $\text{Cov}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle$. It follows from (3) that

$$\sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} = V_1(\text{conv}(y_1, \dots, y_n)).$$

The Gaussian vector corresponding to the points $v_1, \dots, v_n$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_i \xi_j] = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = -1/(n-1), \quad i \neq j.$$

Taken together, the above considerations show the equivalence of (i) and (ii). $\square$

1.3. Asymptotic version of the conjecture. We now show that (2) holds *asymptotically*.

Theorem 1.2. *For some absolute constant $C > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$V_1(T_n) \leq \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})) \leq V_1(T_n) \left(1 + C \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right).$$

Proof. The first inequality is trivial because we can take $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ to be the vertices of T_n . Replacing n by $n-1$ and using (7) we can restate that second inequality as follows: For all $n \geq 2$,

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \leq V_1(T_{n-1}) \left(1 + C \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)$$

Fix $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For $k = 1, \dots, n$ define Gaussian random variables $\xi_k := \langle x_k, \eta \rangle$ and note that ξ_k has zero mean and unit variance. It is known (see, e.g., [7, p. 138]) that

$$(10) \quad \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \leq \sqrt{2 \log n}.$$

We provide a proof for the sake of completeness. For $t > 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(t \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}) &\leq \mathbb{E} \exp(t \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \max\{e^{t\xi_1}, \dots, e^{t\xi_n}\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} e^{t\xi_k} = ne^{t^2/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t = \sqrt{2 \log n}$ yields (10).

On the other hand, it is well-known in the theory of extreme values, see [15, Theorem 1.5.3 on p. 14] and [19], that

$$(11) \quad \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} = \sqrt{2 \log n} - O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{2 \log n}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using (3) and (10), we obtain

$$V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\} \leq \sqrt{4\pi \log n}.$$

Combining this with (9) and (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) &\leq V_1(T_{n-1}) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)\right)^{-1} \\ &= V_1(T_{n-1}) \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the claim. $\square$

2. REGULAR SIMPLEX AND REGULAR CROSSPOLYTOPE

In this section we compare the mean width of the regular simplex T_{2n-1} to the mean width of the regular n -dimensional crosspolytope defined by

$$C_n = \text{conv}(\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n).$$

Note that both T_{2n-1} and C_n (which can be considered as a degenerate simplex) have $2n$ vertices and can be inscribed in $\mathbb{S}^{2n-2}$. We will show that conjecture (2) is true in this special case, that is $V_1(C_n) \leq V_1(T_{2n-1})$. Moreover, we will prove a lower bound which shows that the mean width of T_{2n-1} is remarkably close to the mean width of C_n .

2.1. Mean width and extreme values. It follows from Sudakov's formula (3), see also (9), that

$$(12) \quad V_1(T_{n-1}) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} V_1(S_{n-1}),$$

$$(13) \quad V_1(C_n) = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \max\{\pm \eta_1, \dots, \pm \eta_n\} = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\},$$

where we recall that $S_{n-1} = \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. It is well-known in the theory of extreme values [15, Theorem 1.5.3 on p. 14] that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} \leq u_n + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right] = e^{-e^{-x}},$$

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\} \leq u_{2n} + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right] = e^{-e^{-x}},$$

where u_n is any sequence satisfying $\sqrt{2\pi}u_n e^{u_n^2/2} \sim n$, for example¹

$$(16) \quad u_n = \sqrt{2 \log n} - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \log \log n + \log(2\sqrt{\pi})}{\sqrt{2 \log n}}.$$

Note that (15) (together with (14)) expresses the fact that the minimum and the maximum of $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ become asymptotically independent; see [15, Theorem 1.8.3 on p. 28]. Taking the expectation (which is justified by [19]) and noting that the expectation of the Gumbel distribution on the right-hand side of (14) and (15) is the Euler constant γ , we obtain the large n asymptotics

$$(17) \quad V_1(T_{n-1}) = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(u_n + \frac{\gamma + o(1)}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(18) \quad V_1(C_n) = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(u_{2n} + \frac{\gamma + o(1)}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

These formulas are known; see [2], [11, p. 5], [10, p. 8].

2.2. Comparing $V_1(T_{2n-1})$ and $V_1(C_n)$. We are going to show that distance between $V_1(T_{2n-1})$ and $V_1(C_n)$ is in fact much smaller than the bound $o(1/\sqrt{2 \log n})$ implied by (17) and (18). First we state the corresponding probabilistic result.

Theorem 2.1. *If $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}$ are independent standard Gaussian variables, then*

$$\mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}\} \leq \mathbb{E} \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}\}.$$

The left hand-side inequality immediately follows from Slepian's lemma [15, Corollary 4.2.3 on p. 84] because the random vector $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n})$ is uncorrelated, whereas the off-diagonal correlations of $(\eta_1, -\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n, -\eta_n)$ are non-positive. The proof of the second estimate will be given in Section 4. Theorem 2.1 together with (12) and (13) implies the following

Corollary 2.2. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n-1}{2n}} V_1(T_{2n-1}) \leq V_1(C_n) \leq V_1(T_{2n-1}).$$

We now provide a bound which is asymptotically sharper. Its proof will be given in Section 5.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots$, be independent standard Gaussian variables. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one has*

$$\mathbb{E} \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\} = \left(1 + \frac{1 + o(1)}{8n \log n} \right) \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}\}.$$

Combining Theorem 2.3 with (12) and (13) yields the following

Corollary 2.4. *As $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$V_1(C_n) = V_1(T_{2n-1}) \left(1 - \frac{1 + o(1)}{4n} \right), \quad V_1(C_n) = V_1(S_{2n-1}) \left(1 + \frac{1 + o(1)}{8n \log n} \right).$$

It is possible to obtain further asymptotic terms in (17) and (18), (see, e.g., [15, Eq. (2.4.8) on p. 39]) but it seems that none of these expansions can correctly capture the very small difference between the expectations in Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

¹ $a_n \sim b_n$ means $a_n/b_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. HIGHER MOMENTS AND LIMITING DISTRIBUTION OF THE RANDOM WIDTH

3.1. Sudakov's formula for higher moments. Given a convex compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by W_K the length of the projection of K onto a uniformly chosen direction, that is

$$(19) \quad W_K = \sup_{t \in K} \langle U, t \rangle - \inf_{t \in K} \langle U, t \rangle,$$

where U has uniform distribution on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In this section we study the higher moments of the random variable W_K .

Recall that $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ denotes a random vector having standard normal distribution on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The *isonormal Gaussian process* is defined by

$$\Xi(t) = \langle \eta, t \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is characterized by

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{E}[\Xi(t)] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[\Xi(t)\Xi(s)] = \langle t, s \rangle, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ define the range of Ξ over K to be

$$\text{Range } \Xi(t) = \sup_{t \in K} \Xi(t) - \inf_{t \in K} \Xi(t).$$

The next theorem generalizes Sudakov's formula (3) to higher moments.

Theorem 3.1. *If the set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex and compact, then*

$$(21) \quad \mathbb{E}[W_K^k] = 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+k}{2})} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\text{Range } \Xi(t) \right)^k \right].$$

If, moreover, the set K is symmetric with respect to the origin, then

$$(22) \quad \mathbb{E}[W_K^k] = 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+k}{2})} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sup_{t \in K} \Xi(t) \right)^k \right].$$

Tsirelson [22] generalized Sudakov's formula (3) to all intrinsic volumes. After the acceptance of this paper we have learned that Paouris and Pivovarov extended Tsirelson's formula to higher moments (see [17, Prop. 4.1]) thereby proving a more general variant of Theorem 3.1.

Proof. The standard Gaussian vector η in $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as

$$\eta \stackrel{d}{=} RU,$$

where U and R^2 are such that

- (1) U is a random vector with uniform distribution on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$;
- (2) R^2 is a random variable having χ^2 -distribution with n degrees of freedom;
- (3) U and R^2 are independent.

It follows that we have the distributional equality

$$(23) \quad \text{Range } \Xi(t) = \sup_{t \in K} \langle \eta, t \rangle - \inf_{t \in K} \langle \eta, t \rangle \stackrel{d}{=} \sup_{t \in K} \langle RU, t \rangle - \inf_{t \in K} \langle RU, t \rangle = RW_K.$$

Taking k -th moments of both parts and noting that R and W_K are independent, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\text{Range } \Xi(t) \right)^k \right] = \mathbb{E}[R^k] \mathbb{E}[W_K^k].$$

The moments $\mathbb{E}[R^k]$ of the χ^2 -distribution are known. Inserting the value of the moment, we obtain (21) (which holds without the symmetry assumption on K). If the set K is symmetric with respect to the origin, then $\text{Range}_{t \in K} \Xi(t) = 2 \sup_{t \in K} \Xi(t)$ and we obtain (22). $\square$

Remark 3.2. In particular, taking $k = 1$ in Theorem 3.1 and noting that the first intrinsic volume is related to the mean width $\mathbb{E}[W_K]$ by (1), we recover from (21) Sudakov's [21] formula

$$(24) \quad V_1(K) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Range}_{t \in K} \Xi(t) \right] = \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in K} \Xi(t) \right].$$

Note that the symmetry assumption on K is not needed in the derivation of (24) because in the last equality we used only that $\sup_{t \in K} \Xi(t)$ has the same distribution as $-\inf_{t \in K} \Xi(t)$.

3.2. Applications to regular polytopes. Theorem 3.1 can be used to prove several conjectures on projections of regular polytopes which are due to Finch [10, 11, 12].

Example 3.3. Let $Q_n = [-\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}]^n$ be the n -dimensional cube of unit volume. It is easy to see that $\text{Range}_{t \in Q_n} \Xi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i|$. Therefore, by (21),

$$(25) \quad \mathbb{E}[W_{Q_n}^k] = 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+k}{2})} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\eta_i| \right)^k \right].$$

In particular, taking $k = 1$ and noting that $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ we obtain that the mean width is

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{Q_n}] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})} n \mathbb{E}|\eta_1| = \frac{n \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})},$$

or, equivalently, $V_1(Q_n) = n$, which is well known. The second moment of the projection length is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{Q_n}^2] = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[(|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}|\eta_1|^2 + (n-1) \mathbb{E}|\eta_1 \eta_2| = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} (n-1),$$

where we have used that $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1|^2 = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1 \eta_2| = (\mathbb{E}|\eta_1|)^2 = \frac{2}{\pi}$. This formula has been conjectured by Finch [11, p. 9] who established it for $n = 2, 3$ by purely geometric arguments [12]. Using (25) it is possible to compute more moments of W_{Q_n} , for example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W_{Q_n}^3] &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{2\Gamma(\frac{n+3}{2})} \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} n (2n^2 + (3\pi - 6)n + 4 - \pi), \\ \mathbb{E}[W_{Q_n}^4] &= \frac{1}{(n+2)\pi^2} (4n^3 + (12\pi - 24)n^2 + (44 - 20\pi + 3\pi^2)n + 8\pi - 24), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1| = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$, $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1|^2 = 1$, $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1^3| = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$, $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1^4| = 3$.

Example 3.4. For the regular crosspolytope $C_n = \text{conv}(\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n)$ we have $\sup_{t \in C_n} \Xi(t) = \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\}$ and therefore Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{C_n}^k] = 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+k}{2})} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i| \right)^k \right], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $k = 2$, this formula was conjectured by Finch in [10, p. 3]; see also [11].

Example 3.5. For the regular $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex $S_{n-1} = \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{S_{n-1}}^k] = 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+k}{2})} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i \right)^k \right].$$

Note that in this formula, S_{n-1} is projected onto a random direction in $\mathbb{R}^n$, even though S_{n-1} is $(n-1)$ -dimensional.

It is more natural to state the corresponding formula for T_{n-1} (which is a regular simplex with n vertices inscribed in $\mathbb{S}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$) projected onto a random direction in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. As a realization of T_{n-1} we take the points

$$v_i := \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \left(e_i - \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_n}{n} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

in the hyperplane $L := \{x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (which can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$). By (20), the isonormal process Ξ on L satisfies

$$(\Xi(v_i))_{i=1, \dots, n} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \left(\eta_i - \frac{\eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n}{n} \right)_{i=1, \dots, n},$$

so that for its range on T_{n-1} we have

$$\text{Range } \Xi(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i \right).$$

Therefore, for the projection length of T_{n-1} onto a uniformly chosen random direction in the hyperplane L we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[W_{T_{n-1}}^k] = 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1+k}{2})} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)^{k/2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i \right)^k \right].$$

For $k = 2$, this formula was conjectured by Finch [11, p. 4] who verified it for small values of n .

3.3. Limit distribution for the random width. What is the asymptotic distribution of the projection length of a high-dimensional regular polytope onto a random line? The next two theorems answer this question. The proofs are postponed to Section 6.

Theorem 3.6. *The random width of the cube $Q_n = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ satisfies the following central limit theorem:*

$$W_{Q_n} - \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\pi-3}{\pi}\right).$$

After the acceptance of this paper we became aware of the reference [18] where the central limit theorem was established for the volume of the projection of the cube onto a random linear subspace of any fixed dimension.

Theorem 3.7. *For the random width of the simplex $S_{n-1} = \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ and the crosspolytope $C_n = \text{conv}(\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_n)$ we have*

$$(26) \quad \sqrt{2n \log n} \left(W_{S_{n-1}} - \frac{2u_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} G_1 + G_2,$$

$$(27) \quad \sqrt{2n \log n} \left(W_{C_n} - \frac{2u_{2n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} 2G_1,$$

where G_1, G_2 are independent random variables with the Gumbel double exponential distribution $\mathbb{P}[G_1 \leq x] = \mathbb{P}[G_2 \leq x] = e^{-e^{-x}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.8. It is easy to check that the density of $G_1 + G_2$ equals $2e^{-x}K_0(2e^{-x/2})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$K_0(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh t} dt, \quad z > 0,$$

is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

As already mentioned, the first estimate in Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of the Slepian lemma. Therefore, we concentrate on proving the inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \max\{|\eta_1|, \dots, |\eta_n|\} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} \mathbb{E} \max\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}\}.$$

For $n = 1$ the inequality follows by direct calculations, thus we assume that $n \geq 2$.

The idea of the proof goes back to the work of Chatterjee (see [6] or [1, p. 50]). For $t \in [0, 1]$ consider a centered Gaussian vector

$$\xi(t) = (\xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{2n}(t))$$

with correlations defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\xi_i^2(t)] &= \frac{2n}{t + 2n - 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n, \\ \mathbb{E}[\xi_{2i-1}(t)\xi_{2i}(t)] &= -\frac{2nt}{t + 2n - 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{E}[\xi_i(t)\xi_j(t)] = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\xi(0) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{2n}), \quad \xi(1) \stackrel{d}{=} (\eta_1, -\eta_1, \eta_2, -\eta_2, \dots, \eta_n, -\eta_n).$$

Hence it is sufficient to show that the function

$$\varphi(t) := \mathbb{E} \max\{\xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{2n}(t)\}$$

is non-increasing on $[0, 1]$. Consider the function

$$F_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) := \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta x_i} \right).$$

It is immediate that

$$\max\{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}\} \leq F_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \leq \frac{\log 2n}{\beta} + \max\{x_1, \dots, x_{2n}\}.$$

Therefore we only need to show that for any $\beta > 0$ the function

$$\varphi_\beta(t) := \mathbb{E} F_\beta(\xi(t))$$

is non-increasing on $[0, 1]$.

In what follows, $\mathbf{x}$ stands for $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$. Set $\sigma_{ij}(t) := \mathbb{E} [\xi_i(t)\xi_j(t)]$ and let us denote by $f(t, \mathbf{x})$ the probability density function of $\xi(t)$. It is a well-known property of f that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ii}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq 2n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma_{ij}}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}}{\partial t} d\mathbf{x}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ii}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{2n}{(t+2n-1)^2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n, \\ \frac{\partial \sigma_{2i-1, 2i}}{\partial t} &= -\frac{2n(2n-1)}{(t+2n-1)^2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and $\partial \sigma_{ij} / \partial t = 0$ otherwise. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial t} &= -\frac{n}{(t+2n-1)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F_\beta(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2i-1}^2}(\mathbf{x}) + 2(2n-1) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2i-1} \partial x_{2i}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2i}^2}(\mathbf{x}) \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\frac{n}{(t+2n-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(\mathbf{x}) \left[\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i-1}^2}(\mathbf{x}) + 2(2n-1) \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i-1} \partial x_{2i}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i}^2}(\mathbf{x}) \right] d\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\frac{n}{(t+2n-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i-1}^2}(\mathbf{x}) + 2(2n-1) \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i-1} \partial x_{2i}}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_{2i}^2}(\mathbf{x}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_i^2}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta(p_i(\mathbf{x}) - p_i^2(\mathbf{x})), \quad \frac{\partial^2 F_\beta}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}) = -\beta p_i(\mathbf{x}) p_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad i \neq j,$$

where

$$p_i(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\partial F_\beta}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\beta x_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{2n} e^{\beta x_k}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad & -\frac{(t+2n-1)^2}{n\beta} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial t} = \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{E} [p_i(\xi(t))] - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{E} [p_i^2(\xi(t))] - 2(2n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [p_{2i-1}(\xi(t)) p_{2i}(\xi(t))] \\ & = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{E} [p_i^2(\xi(t))] - 2(2n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [p_{2i-1}(\xi(t)) p_{2i}(\xi(t))]. \end{aligned}$$

As we already mentioned, we assume that $n \geq 2$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} [p_{2i-1}(\xi(t)) p_j(\xi(t))] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t)) p_2(\xi(t))], & j = 2i; \\ \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t)) p_3(\xi(t))], & j \neq 2i-1, 2i. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{(t+2n-1)^2}{n\beta} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial t} = \\ & 1 - \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i(\xi(t)) \right]^2 - 2n(2n-2) \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_2(\xi(t))] + 2n(2n-2) \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_3(\xi(t))] \\ & = -2n(2n-2) \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_2(\xi(t))] + 2n(2n-2) \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_3(\xi(t))]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, to show that $\frac{\partial \varphi_\beta}{\partial t} \leq 0$ and to complete the proof it is sufficient to prove that

$$\mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_2(\xi(t))] \leq \mathbb{E} [p_1(\xi(t))p_3(\xi(t))],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{e^{\beta \xi_1(t)}(e^{\beta \xi_2(t)} - e^{\beta \xi_3(t)})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta \xi_i(t)})^2} \right] \leq 0.$$

Since the vectors $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t), \xi_3(t), \xi_4(t))$ and $(\xi_4(t), \xi_3(t), \xi_2(t), \xi_1(t))$ are equidistributed and independent from $(\xi_5(t), \dots, \xi_{2n}(t))$, the last inequality is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{e^{\beta \xi_4(t)}(e^{\beta \xi_3(t)} - e^{\beta \xi_2(t)})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta \xi_i(t)})^2} \right] \leq 0.$$

Since the left hand sides of two last inequalities are equal, summing them up, we observe that it is enough to prove

$$(29) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(e^{\beta \xi_1(t)} - e^{\beta \xi_4(t)})(e^{\beta \xi_2(t)} - e^{\beta \xi_3(t)})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta \xi_i(t)})^2} \right] \leq 0.$$

By the construction of vector $\xi(t)$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{E} [\xi_1(t)\xi_2(t)]}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E} [\xi_1^2(t)]\mathbb{E} [\xi_2^2(t)]}} = -t$$

and

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E} [\xi_i^2(t)] = \frac{2n}{t+2n-1}.$$

Denote by $h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ the probability density function of $(\xi_1(t), \xi_2(t), \xi_3(t), \xi_4(t))$:

$$(30) \quad h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\sigma^4(1-t^2)} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2(1-t^2)}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + 2t(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4)) \right].$$

Consider subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ defined as

$$A = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : (x_1 - x_4)(x_2 - x_3) > 0\},$$

$$B = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : (x_1 - x_4)(x_2 - x_3) < 0\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(e^{\beta\xi_1(t)} - e^{\beta\xi_4(t)})(e^{\beta\xi_2(t)} - e^{\beta\xi_3(t)})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_A \frac{(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3})}{(e^{\beta x_1} + e^{\beta x_2} + e^{\beta x_3} + e^{\beta x_4} + \sum_{i=5}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_B \frac{(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3})}{(e^{\beta x_1} + e^{\beta x_2} + e^{\beta x_3} + e^{\beta x_4} + \sum_{i=5}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging x_2 and x_3 in the second term, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_B \frac{(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3})}{(e^{\beta x_1} + e^{\beta x_2} + e^{\beta x_3} + e^{\beta x_4} + \sum_{i=5}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right] \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\int_A \frac{(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3})}{(e^{\beta x_1} + e^{\beta x_2} + e^{\beta x_3} + e^{\beta x_4} + \sum_{i=5}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} h(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(e^{\beta\xi_1(t)} - e^{\beta\xi_4(t)})(e^{\beta\xi_2(t)} - e^{\beta\xi_3(t)})}{(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_A \frac{(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3})(h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - h(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4))}{(e^{\beta x_1} + e^{\beta x_2} + e^{\beta x_3} + e^{\beta x_4} + \sum_{i=5}^{2n} e^{\beta\xi_i(t)})^2} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the exponent is increasing function and $\beta > 0$, we have for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in A$

$$(e^{\beta x_1} - e^{\beta x_4})(e^{\beta x_2} - e^{\beta x_3}) \geq 0.$$

Thus, to get (29) it is enough to show that for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in A$

$$h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) - h(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4) \leq 0.$$

Indeed, using (30) we obtain that for $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4)}{h(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)} &= \exp \left[-\frac{t}{\sigma^2(1-t^2)}(x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_4 - x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[\frac{t}{\sigma^2(1-t^2)}(x_1 - x_4)(x_2 - x_3) \right] \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

Recall that both $A_n := \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i|$ and $B_n := \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} \eta_i$ are asymptotically equivalent to $\sqrt{2 \log n}$. Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, we need to show that

$$(31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4n \sqrt{2 \log n} \left(\mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i| - \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} \eta_i \right) = 1.$$

Denote the tail function of the standard normal distribution by

$$\bar{\Phi}(t) = \int_t^\infty e^{-s^2/2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

It is well known [1, p. 9] or [7, p. 137] that for $t > 0$ one has

$$(32) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t^3} \right) e^{-t^2/2} \leq \bar{\Phi}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t} e^{-t^2/2}.$$

The distribution functions of the maxima we are interested in are given by

$$(33) \quad F_n(t) := \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i| \leq t \right] = \left(\int_{-t}^t e^{-s^2/2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n = (1 - 2\bar{\Phi}(t))^n, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(34) \quad G_n(t) := \mathbb{P} \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} \eta_i \leq t \right] = \left(\int_{-\infty}^t e^{-s^2/2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{2n} = (1 - \bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i| = \int_0^\infty (1 - F_n(t)) dt, \\ B_n &= \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} \eta_i = \int_0^\infty (1 - G_n(t)) dt - \int_0^\infty (\bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n} dt. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second equality, note that for $M := \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} \eta_i$ we have $M = M_+ - M_-$ with $M_+ = \max(M, 0)$, $M_- = \max(-M, 0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[M_+ > t] &= 1 - G_n(t), \quad t > 0, \\ \mathbb{P}[M_- > t] &= \mathbb{P}[M < -t] = (1 - \bar{\Phi}(-t))^{2n} = (\bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the second integral in the formula for B_n is negligible. Indeed, noting that $\bar{\Phi}(0) = 1/2$ and using (32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (\bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n} dt &\leq (\bar{\Phi}(0))^{2n} + \int_1^\infty (\bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n} dt \leq 2^{-2n} + \int_1^\infty (2\pi)^{-n} t^{-2n} e^{-t^2/2} dt \\ &\leq 2^{-2n} + (2\pi e)^{-n} \leq 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above considerations, in order to prove (31) it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4n \sqrt{2 \log n} \int_0^\infty (G_n(t) - F_n(t)) dt = 1.$$

After a change of variable $t := t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, where $t_n \sim \sqrt{2 \log n}$ is the solution to the equation

$$(35) \quad \bar{\Phi}(t_n) = \frac{1}{2n},$$

our task reduces to proving that

$$(36) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-t_n^2}^\infty 4n \left(G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) - F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \right) da = 1.$$

First we prove the pointwise convergence of the function under the integral sign. If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$, then by (32) and (35),

$$(37) \quad r_n := \bar{\Phi} \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n})^2} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}t_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_n^2} e^{-a} \sim \frac{e^{-a}}{2n}.$$

Recalling the formulas for F_n and G_n , see (33), (34), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) &= (1 - 2r_n)^n = e^{n \log(1-2r_n)}, \\ G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) &= (1 - r_n)^{2n} = e^{2n \log(1-r_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (37) and the Taylor series for the logarithm and the exponent, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) &= \exp \left\{ -n \left(2r_n + 2r_n^2 + o \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) \right\} = e^{-2nr_n} \left(1 - 2nr_n^2 + o \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right), \\ G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) &= \exp \left\{ -2n \left(r_n + \frac{r_n^2}{2} + o \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) \right\} = e^{-2nr_n} \left(1 - nr_n^2 + o \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting both expansions and using (37) twice, we obtain

$$4n \left(G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) - F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \right) = 4ne^{-2nr_n} \left(nr_n^2 + o \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-e^{-a}} e^{-2a}.$$

If we allow for a moment interchanging the limit and the integral, the limit in (36) equals

$$\text{LHS of (36)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-e^{-a}} e^{-2a} da = \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y dy = 1,$$

where we used the change of variable $y = e^{-a}$.

To complete the proof we need to justify the use of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. It suffices to show that for some integrable function $g(a)$,

$$(38) \quad 0 \leq n \left(G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) - F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \right) \leq g(a), \quad a > -\frac{1}{4}t_n^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(39) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{-t_n^2}^{-t_n^2/4} \left(G_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) - F_n \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \right) da = 0.$$

The non-negativity of $G_n - F_n$ is a consequence of the inequality $(1-z)^2 \geq 1-2z$; see (33), (34). Now we prove the upper bound in (38). Using the inequality

$$y^n - x^n \leq n(y-x)y^{n-1}$$

for $0 \leq x \leq y$, we obtain that

$$(40) \quad G_n(t) - F_n(t) = (1 - 2\bar{\Phi}(t) + \bar{\Phi}^2(t))^n - (1 - 2\bar{\Phi}(t))^n \leq n\bar{\Phi}^2(t)(1 - \bar{\Phi}(t))^{2n-2}.$$

In the following, $C, C_1, \dots > 0$ denote absolute constants. Let first $a > -\frac{1}{4}t_n^2$ so that $t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} > \frac{3}{4}t_n$. By (32) and (35),

$$(41) \quad \bar{\Phi} \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \leq \frac{C_1}{t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n})^2} \leq \frac{4C_1}{3t_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_n^2} e^{-a} \leq \frac{C_2}{n} e^{-a}.$$

On the other hand, if $a \in [-\frac{1}{4}t_n^2, 0]$, then again using (32) and (35) we obtain

$$(42) \quad \bar{\Phi} \left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n} \right) \geq \frac{C'_1}{t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n})^2} \geq \frac{C'_1}{t_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t_n^2} e^{-a} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2t_n^2}} \geq \frac{C'_2}{n} e^{-\frac{7}{8}a},$$

where in the last estimate we used that $-\frac{a^2}{2t_n^2} \geq \frac{1}{8}a$.

Note that because of $-a \leq \frac{1}{4}t_n^2 \sim \frac{1}{2} \log n$, the right hand-side of (41) can be estimated above by $Cn^{-1/4}$. Using the inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}$ (which is valid for sufficiently small $x > 0$) together with (42), we obtain that for $a \in [-\frac{1}{4}t_n^2, 0]$,

$$\left(1 - \bar{\Phi}\left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}\right)\right)^{2n-2} \leq e^{-(n-1)\frac{C'_2}{n}e^{-\frac{7}{8}a}} \leq e^{-C'e^{-\frac{7}{8}a}}.$$

It follows from this and (40), (41) that for all $a > -\frac{1}{2}t_n^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} n(G_n - F_n)\left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}\right) &\leq n^2\bar{\Phi}^2\left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}\right)\left(1 - \bar{\Phi}\left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}\right)\right)^{2n-2} \\ &\leq C''e^{-2a}e^{-C'e^{-\frac{7}{8}a}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the case $a > 0$ we used the trivial estimate $1 - \bar{\Phi}(t) \leq 1$. The function on the right-hand side is integrable in a , thus completing the proof of (38).

We turn now to (39). Using again (40), the trivial estimate $\bar{\Phi}(t) \leq 1$, and the increasing property of $1 - \bar{\Phi}(t)$, we obtain that

$$I_n := n \int_{-t_n^2}^{-t_n^2/4} (G_n - F_n)\left(t_n + \frac{a}{t_n}\right) da \leq n^2 t_n^2 \left(1 - \bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{3}{4}t_n^2\right)\right)^{2n-2}.$$

Recall now that $t_n^2 \sim 2 \log n$ and use (42) which implies that for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{3}{4}t_n^2\right) \geq Cn^{-\varepsilon}, \text{ but } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{3}{4}t_n^2\right) = 0.$$

Again using inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}$ (valid for small $x > 0$), we obtain

$$I_n \leq Cn^2(\log n)e^{-Cn^{-\varepsilon}(n-1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

thus proving (39). $\square$

6. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 3.6 AND 3.7

Both proofs rely on the observation that a random vector U distributed uniformly on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ can be represented as

$$(43) \quad U \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\frac{\eta_1}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}}, \dots, \frac{\eta_n}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. It follows from the definition of W_{Q_n} , see (19), and from the central symmetry of the cube that

$$(44) \quad W_{Q_n} = 2 \sup_{t \in Q_n} \langle t, U \rangle \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}}.$$

Consider a random vector (X_n, Y_n) with

$$(45) \quad X_n := \frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n| - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}, \quad Y_n := \frac{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2 - n}{v\sqrt{n}},$$

where

$$(46) \quad \mu := \mathbb{E}|\eta_1| = \sqrt{2/\pi},$$

$$(47) \quad \sigma^2 := \text{Var}|\eta_1| = \mathbb{E}[\eta_1^2] - (\mathbb{E}|\eta_1|)^2 = (\pi - 2)/\pi,$$

$$(48) \quad v^2 := \text{Var}(\eta_1^2) = \mathbb{E}[\eta_1^4] - (\mathbb{E}[\eta_1^2])^2 = 2.$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}X_n = \mathbb{E}Y_n = 0$ and $\text{Var } X_n = \text{Var } Y_n = 1$, while

$$(49) \quad r := \text{Cov}(X_n, Y_n) = \frac{n \text{Cov}(|\eta_1|, \eta_1^2)}{\sigma v n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi - 2}},$$

where we used that $\mathbb{E}|\eta_1^3| = 2\sqrt{2/\pi}$. By the bivariate central limit theorem,

$$(50) \quad (X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} (X, Y),$$

where (X, Y) is a bivariate Gaussian vector with standard margins and covariance r . It follows from (45) that

$$W_{Q_n} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} = \frac{\mu n + \sigma \sqrt{n} X_n}{\sqrt{n + v \sqrt{n} Y_n}} = \frac{\mu n \left(1 + \frac{\sigma X_n}{\mu \sqrt{n}}\right)}{\sqrt{n} \sqrt{1 + \frac{v Y_n}{\sqrt{n}}}}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, expanding into a Taylor series around 0 and noting that $X_n = O_P(1)$, $Y_n = O_P(1)$, we get

$$\frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} = \mu \sqrt{n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\sigma X_n}{\mu} - \frac{v Y_n}{2}\right) + O_P\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} - \mu \sqrt{n} = \sigma X_n - \frac{1}{2} \mu v Y_n + O_P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that by the bivariate central limit theorem (50), the sequence $\sigma X_n - \frac{1}{2} \mu v Y_n$ has limiting normal distribution with mean zero and variance

$$\text{Var} \left[\sigma X_n - \frac{1}{2} \mu v Y_n \right] = \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 v^2 - \sigma \mu v r = \frac{\pi - 3}{\pi},$$

where we used (46), (47), (48), (49). Recalling (44) we obtain

$$W_{Q_n} - \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{|\eta_1| + \dots + |\eta_n|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} - \mu \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\pi - 3}{\pi}\right),$$

which proves the claim. $\square$

Remark 6.1. Self-normalized or studentized sums of the form

$$R_n := \frac{\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n}{\sqrt{\zeta_1^2 + \dots + \zeta_n^2}} \quad \text{or} \quad T_n := \frac{\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n}{\sqrt{(\zeta_1 - \bar{\zeta}_n)^2 + \dots + (\zeta_n - \bar{\zeta}_n)^2}},$$

where $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots$ are i.i.d. random variables and $\bar{\zeta}_n = \frac{1}{n}(\zeta_1 + \dots + \zeta_n)$, have been extensively studied in the literature; see, e.g., [9], with main emphasis on the central case $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_i] = 0$. The non-central case $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_i] \neq 0$ has been analyzed by Bentkus et al. [5] (who studied T_n) and by Omey and Van Gulck [16] (who studied $1/R_n^2$ and related quantities). After some calculations, our central limit theorem for W_{Q_n} could be deduced from [16, Theorem 3.1(v)], but since these authors studied $1/R_n^2$ instead of R_n it was easier to provide a direct proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. We prove (26). Using representation (43), we obtain

$$(51) \quad W_{S_{n-1}} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}}.$$

It is known from extreme-value theory that the range of the standard normal sample satisfies

$$(52) \quad Z_n := u_n \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - 2u_n \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} G_1 + G_2,$$

where $u_n \sim \sqrt{2 \log n}$ is as in (16). In fact, this follows from the asymptotic independence [15, Theorem 1.8.3 on p. 28] of $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i$ and $-\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i$ which both have limiting Gumbel distribution as in (14). Define Y_n as in (45) and observe that Y_n has limiting standard normal distribution by the central limit theorem. We have

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} = \frac{2u_n + \frac{Z_n}{u_n}}{\sqrt{n + \sqrt{2n}Y_n}} = \frac{2u_n}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1 + \frac{Z_n}{2u_n^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2/n}Y_n}}.$$

Noting that both Z_n and Y_n are $O_P(1)$ and expanding into a Taylor series, we obtain

$$\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} = \frac{2u_n}{\sqrt{n}} \left(1 + \frac{Z_n}{2u_n^2} + O_P\left(\frac{1}{u_n^4}\right) \right),$$

where we have used that $u_n \sim \sqrt{2 \log n}$ and hence, the term with Y_n is negligible. It follows from (52) that

$$u_n \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \eta_i}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}} - \frac{2u_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} G_1 + G_2,$$

which, in view of (51), implies (26).

The proof of (27) is analogous but instead of (44) it uses the representation

$$(53) \quad W_{C_n} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{2 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i|}{\sqrt{\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_n^2}}.$$

together with the limit relation

$$(54) \quad Z'_n := u_n \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\eta_i| - u_{2n} \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} G_1$$

following from the asymptotic independence of the maximum and the minimum. $\square$

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Manjunath Krishnapur and Tulasi Ram Reddy Annapareddy for noticing a gap in the first version of the proof of Theorem 2.1. We also wish to thank Peter Pivovarov for bringing [17] to our attention.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Adler and J. Taylor. *Random fields and geometry*. Springer, 2009.
- [2] F. Affentranger and R. Schneider. Random projections of regular simplices. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 7(3):219–226, 1992.
- [3] A. Balakrishnan. Research problem no. 9: Geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69:737–738, 1963.
- [4] A. Balakrishnan. Signal selection for space communication channels. In *Advances in Communication Systems*, pages 1–31. Academic Press, 1965.
- [5] V. Bentkus, B.-Y. Jing, Q.-M. Shao, and W. Zhou. Limiting distributions of the non-central t -statistic and their applications to the power of t -tests under non-normality. *Bernoulli*, 13(2):346–364, 2007.

- [6] S. Chatterjee. An error bound in the Sudakov–Fernique inequality. *Preprint*, 2005. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0510424>.
- [7] S. Chatterjee. *Superconcentration and related topics*. Springer, 2014.
- [8] T. M. Cover and eds. B. Gopinath. Open problems in communication and computation. Papers of a Workshop on Problems in Communication and Computation, Morristown, New Jersey, summers of 1984 and 1985 and Palo Alto, California, summer 1986). New York etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1987.
- [9] V. H. de la Peña, T. L. Lai, and Q.-M. Shao. *Self-normalized processes. Limit theory and statistical applications*. Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- [10] S. R. Finch. Mean width of a regular cross-polytope. *Preprint*, 2011. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0499>.
- [11] S. R. Finch. Mean width of a regular simplex. *Preprint*, 2011. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.4976>.
- [12] S. R. Finch. Width distributions for convex regular polyhedra. *Preprint*, 2011. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.0671>.
- [13] E. Gilbert. A comparison of signalling alphabets. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, 31(3): 504–522, 1952.
- [14] P. Gritzmann and V. Klee. On the complexity of some basic problems in computational convexity II: Volume and mixed volumes. Bisztriczky, T. (ed.) et al., Polytopes: abstract, convex and computational. Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute. Kluwer Academic Publishers. NATO ASI Ser., Ser. C, Math. Phys. Sci. 440, 373–466, 1994.
- [15] M. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, and H. Rootzén. *Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1983.
- [16] E. Omey and S. Van Gulck. Domains of attraction of the real random vector (X, X^2) and applications. *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér.*, 86:41–53, 2009.
- [17] G. Paouris and P. Pivovarov. Small-ball probabilities for the volume of random convex sets. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 49(3):601–646, 2013.
- [18] G. Paouris, P. Pivovarov, and J. Zinn. A central limit theorem for projections of the cube. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 159(3–4):701–719, 2014.
- [19] J. Pickands. Moment convergence of sample extremes. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 39: 881–889, 1968.
- [20] R. Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [21] V. Sudakov. Geometric problems in the theory of infinite-dimensional probability distributions. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 141(4):3–191, 1976. English translation: AMS.
- [22] B. S. Tsirelson. A geometric approach to maximum likelihood estimation for an infinite-dimensional Gaussian location. II. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 30(4):772–779, 1985.
- [23] C. Weber. *Elements of detection and signal design*. McGraw–Hill, 1968.

ZAKHAR KABLUCHKO, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATISCHE STATISTIK, UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, ORLÉANS-RING 10, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-mail address: `zakhar.kabluchko@uni-muenster.de`

ALEXANDER E. LITVAK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, AB, T6G 2G1

E-mail address: `aelitvak@gmail.com`

DMITRY ZAPOROZHETS, ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FONTANKA 27, 191011 ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

E-mail address: `zap1979@gmail.com`